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The Role of Biomarkers in Diagnosing the Early Stage of Endometriosis

How Long is the Safest Inter-Delivery Interval in Women with Previous History of Cesarean Delivery?
The inter-delivery interval ≥18 months is the safest time to avoid uterine rupture. Prostaglandin analogue induction should be avoided and for patients with a history of past cesarean using a single-layer closure to be educated about the increased risk.

Levels of 25-Hydroxyvitamin D in Normotensive Pregnancy and Severe Preeclampsia
Levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D in normotensive pregnancy significantly higher compared to severe preeclampsia so it can be concluded that the levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D were associated with preeclampsia.

The Prevalence and Risk Factors of Constipation in Pregnancy
Prevalence of constipation in pregnant women is 13.2%. There is no significant correlation between gestational age, dietary fiber intake, water consumption, and physical activity.

Oral Versus Vaginal Misoprostol for Labour Induction: A Comparative Study
Oral titrated in solution, and vaginal route of administration of misoprostol for induction of labour are equally effective and safe.

The Risk of Infection Human Papilloma Virus Infection in Acceptors of Depot Medroxyprogesterone Acetate Contraceptions
The long-term use of DMPA contraceptive does not increase the risk of HPV 16 and 18 infections. Also does not cause cervical cytology changes that lead to cervical malignancy.

The Role of Matrix Metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) in Serum and Peritoneal Fluid of Endometriotic Patients
The value of MMP-2 serum and peritoneal fluid were higher in endometriotic patients compared to those without endometriosis. The higher the value of MMP-2 serum and peritoneal fluid, the higher the stage of endometriosis.

Methylation Profile of HOXA 11 Gene in Eutopic Endometrium on Endometriosis Patient with Infertility
There is a hyper methylation of HOXA 11 gene in eutopic endometrium of endometriosis patients with infertility. Thus, possibly can explain the poor endometrial receptivity in endometriosis patient and give a broad research area in epigenetic therapy of endometriosis.

Effect of Postpartum Pelvic Floor Muscles Training in Pelvic Floor Muscles Strength on Postpartum Women with Stress Urinary Incontinence
Pelvic muscles floor training or Kegel exercise improve pelvic muscles floor strength in postpartum women with SUI.

The Prevalence of Occult Omental Metastases in Patients with Epithelial Ovarian Cancer
The prevalence of occult metastases of early stage epithelial ovarian cancer in Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia, from 2009-2015 in 2% (1/51 subjects).

Endoglin Expression (CD105) in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer
Endoglin expression in ovarian cancer metastatic tumour to omentum is correlated with clinical stage and differentiation level of ovarian cancer. And endoglin is one of the proangiogenic and prometastatic factors.
How Long is the Safest Inter-Delivery Interval in Women with Previous History of Cesarean Delivery?

Berapa Lama Jarak antar Kehamilan Teraman pada Perempuan dengan Riwayat Seksio Sesarea?

Budi I Santoso, Raymond Surya, Karina K Firdaus, Surahman Hakim

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia/
Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital
Jakarta

INTRODUCTION

In the world, the rate of cesarean delivery (CD) has increased sharply in the last few decades from 6% to 27.2% in the most developed regions. There was an increasing trend of CD between 1990 and 2014 which the global average CD rate raised about 12.4% (from 6.7% to 19.1%). In the United States, this rate increased from 5% in 1970 to 31% in 2007. It was related to the increasing maternal age, decreasing of instrumental deliveries usage, decreasing of vaginal delivery after previous cesarean section (VBAC), and also increasing in medically indicated labour inductions. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologist (ACOG) reported that the rate of VBAC has declined from 28.3% in 1996 to 8.5% in 2006 due to the reports of increasing risk for uterine rupture and complications during VBAC.

Uterine rupture is the most catastrophic complication for women attempting VBAC. The Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units (MFMU) Network Study explained the incidence of symptomatic...
uterine rupture was 0.69% of 18,000 women performing the trial of labour (TOL). One risk factor influencing uterine rupture is inter-delivery and inter-pregnancy interval. Short inter-delivery and inter-pregnancy have been associated with poor maternal and neonatal outcomes, such as preterm birth, low birth weight, preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM), placenta accrete, and uterine rupture as the worst risk. The pathophysiology of uterine rupture is in accordance with the healing of the lower uterine segment after CD. Short inter-delivery time causes lack of complete healing of the uterine scar which contributes to ineffective uterine contractility and poor lower segment thinning that increases the risk of uterine dehiscence or rupture.

Therefore, the authors would like to know the association between inter-delivery interval and uterine rupture in women with previous CD. Appraisal was done with one systematic review and seven studies related to this topic to answer this evidence-based case report (EBCR). Although there were a lot of studies conducted on this topic; however, there is still no formal publication of EBCR. The question formulation in this case report study was how long should the inter-delivery interval be to minimize the risk of uterine rupture. To answer the practical question above, three databases were investigated including PubMed, Cochrane database, and Embase database. In PubMed, the search included keywords using the MeSH, namely ("Birth Intervals"[Mesh] AND "Uterine Rupture"[Mesh]) and MeSH descriptor: [Birth Intervals] AND MeSH descriptor: [Uterine Rupture] in Cochrane database. Meanwhile, the authors used the keywords "uterine rupture" AND "inter-pregnancy interval" in Embase. All studies related to this topic were accepted due to the lack of systematic review or meta-analysis. Finally, 11 articles were found in PubMed, 1 article in Cochrane database, and ten articles in Embase. The articles were screened using the criteria consisting of abstracts answering the clinical question, written in English language, full-text paper availability, and omitting all duplication papers. Therefore, from this strategy of searching, the authors obtained one systematic review and six articles that continued to the next process of appraisal. The critical appraisal steps used in this article was written by Agustin CA et al.; Emmanuel B, et al.; Emmanuel B, et al.; Roy K, et al.; Thomas DS, et al.; David MS, et al.; Matthew AE, et al. Due to lack of inconsistency in the appraisal of systematic review, all studies were reviewed and recruited by the systematic review. The authors found one study that was not included in the strategy of searching the evidence. Therefore, the authors included the study by Wilson HH et al. into our appraisal (described in figure 1).

**Formulation of the question**

How long is the minimal inter-delivery interval to minimize the risk of uterine rupture?

**Searching the evidence**

To answer the practical question above, three databases were investigated including PubMed, Cochrane database, and Embase database. In PubMed, the search included keywords using the MeSH, namely ("Birth Intervals"[Mesh] AND "Uterine Rupture"[Mesh]) and MeSH descriptor: [Birth Intervals] AND MeSH descriptor: [Uterine Rupture] in Cochrane database. Meanwhile, the authors used the keywords "uterine rupture" AND "inter-pregnancy interval" in Embase. All studies related to this topic were accepted due to the lack of systematic review or meta-analysis. Finally, 11 articles were found in PubMed, 1 article in Cochrane database, and ten articles in Embase. The articles were screened using the criteria consisting of abstracts answering the clinical question, written in English language, full-text paper availability, and omitting all duplication papers. Therefore, from this strategy of searching, the authors obtained one systematic review and six articles that continued to the next process of appraisal. The critical appraisal steps used in this article was written by Agustin CA et al.; Emmanuel B, et al.; Emmanuel B, et al.; Roy K, et al.; Thomas DS, et al.; David MS, et al.; Matthew AE, et al. Due to lack of inconsistency in the appraisal of systematic review, all studies were reviewed and recruited by the systematic review. The authors found one study that was not included in the strategy of searching the evidence. Therefore, the authors included the study by Wilson HH et al. into our appraisal (described in figure 1).
To appraise the scientific evidence of 8 articles, the guideline from Consolidated Standard of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) for retrospective studies and A Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) for systematic review was used. The tables 1, 2, and 3 below describe the appraisal form from the study based on VIA (validity, importance, and applicability) methods.
### Table 1. Validity of the Studies Included in the Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Focused research question</th>
<th>Selection criteria</th>
<th>Primary outcome</th>
<th>Number of studies</th>
<th>Number of subjects</th>
<th>Validity appraisal</th>
<th>Reliability assessment</th>
<th>Similarity of the studies (homogeneity)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conde-Agudelo A, et al</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Uterine rupture</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5164</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bujold E, et al</td>
<td>Research article</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Uterine rupture</td>
<td></td>
<td>1527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bujold E, et al</td>
<td>Research article</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Uterine rupture</td>
<td></td>
<td>1768</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kessous R, et al</td>
<td>Research article</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pregnancy complications and adverse outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td>3176</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipp TD, et al</td>
<td>Research article</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Uterine rupture</td>
<td></td>
<td>2409</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamilio DM, et al</td>
<td>Research article</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Uterine rupture, composite major morbidity, and blood transfusion</td>
<td></td>
<td>13331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang WH, et al</td>
<td>Research article</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The rate of successful VBAC</td>
<td></td>
<td>1185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eposito MA, et al</td>
<td>Research article</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Importance of the Studies Included in the Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Overall results (treatment preference)</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conde-Agudelo A, et al</td>
<td>Long intervals (birth intervals ≥19 or 25 months and interpregnancy interval ≥6 months)</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bujold E, et al</td>
<td>Interdelivery interval &gt;24 months</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>1.08-5.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bujold E, et al</td>
<td>Interdelivery interval ≥18 months</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.2-6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kessous R, et al</td>
<td>Not significant difference among ≤12, 13-18, 19-24, ≥25 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipp TD, et al</td>
<td>Interdelivery interval &gt;18 months</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.2-7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamilio DM, et al</td>
<td>Interpregnancy interval ≥6 months</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>1.21-5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang WH, et al</td>
<td>Not significant difference between &lt;19 and ≥19 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eposito MA, et al</td>
<td>Interpregnancy interval ≥6 months</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>1.09-14.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Applicability of the Studies Included in the Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>The source of data</th>
<th>Apply the result to patient care</th>
<th>Considering all clinically important outcomes</th>
<th>Other clinical outcome(s) or risk factor(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conde-Agudelo A, et al</td>
<td>3 cohort and 1 case-control studies</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Single-layer uterine closure at the previous CD (OR 4.33; 95% CI 1.70-10.98) increased the risk of uterine rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bujold E, et al</td>
<td>Sainte-Justine Hospital, Montreal, Canada</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Previous single-layer closure (OR 7.5; 95% CI 3.2-17.6) increased the risk of uterine rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bujold E, et al</td>
<td>Sainte-Justine Hospital, Montreal, Canada</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Previous single-layer closure (OR 7.5; 95% CI 3.2-17.6) increased the risk of uterine rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kessous R, et al</td>
<td>Soroka University Medical Center, Southern region of Israel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Long inter-delivery interval more than 24 months had higher rate of gestational diabetes mellitus and higher rates of CD; short interval group had lower birth weight and higher prevalence of low Apgar score at 1 and 5 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipp TD, et al</td>
<td>Brigham and Women's Hospital, Massachusetts, USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Induced with oxytocin (OR 4.9; 95% CI 1.7-14.6) increased the risk of uterine rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamilio DM, et al</td>
<td>Seventeen Hospitals in the Northeastern, USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Interpregnancy interval ≤6 months had higher risk for composite morbidity (OR 1.95; 95% CI 1.04-3.65) and blood transfusion (OR 3.14; 95% CI 1.42-6.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang WH, et al</td>
<td>Irvine and Long Beach Memorial Medical Center, California, USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eposito MA, et al</td>
<td>Women and Infants' Hospital, Rhode Island, USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Applying the answers

Inter-delivery interval has been associated with obstetric outcomes; one of them is the uterine rupture in women with previous history of CD. Report of WHO Technical Consultation on Birth Spacing in 2005 recommended the inter-pregnancy interval was at least 24 months to reduce the risk of adverse maternal, perinatal, and infant outcomes. This interval was consistent with the recommendation of breastfeeding for two years. Apart from that, WHO considered 2 years as the number which easily remembered in the program rather than "18 months" or "27 months". Meanwhile, the Society of Obstetricians and Gynecologist of Canada (SOGC) in 2005 stated that inter-delivery interval more than 18 months had the lowest risk factor for uterine rupture. The differences between the guidelines are the reason of interest related to searching of the evidence about the association between inter-delivery interval and the risk of uterine rupture. Apart from that, patients always ask the doctor for the minimal inter-delivery interval that is safe for the next pregnancy in women with history of CD. Therefore, this interval becomes our concern to answer the practical questions.

In this EBCR, one systematic review, six cohort studies, and 1 case-control study were collected to compare the inter-pregnancy interval to the risk of uterine rupture. The authors retrieved seven articles suitable to the inclusion criteria after excluding ten articles screened by the abstract and language. Then, one article was used in the systematic review, so this critical appraisal based on VIA was performed for eight articles.

Systematic review by Conde-Agudelo A, et al. involved 3 cohort studies and 1 case-control study presented that there was an increasing risk of uterine rupture in women with short interval, whereas short birth interval in the study was defined as less than 19 or 25 months or inter-pregnancy interval was less than six months. Meanwhile, in one cohort study in the systematic review did not find an association between inter-delivery interval and uterine rupture. Due to lack of reliability assessment in the systematic review, all studies included in Agustin CA study were searched.

The authors found similar results among studies conducted by Bujold E, et al., Bujold E, et al., Shipp TD, et al., Stamilio DM, et al., and Eposito MA, et al. They concluded that short inter-delivery interval was associated with the increased risk of uterine rupture; however, the definition of short interval was different among those studies. Bujold E, et al. in their study showed that single-layer closure and inter-delivery interval ≤24 months significantly increased the risk of uterine rupture. Therefore, single-layer closure (OR 4.33; 95% CI 1.70-10.98) and inter-delivery interval ≤24 months (OR 2.65; 95% CI 1.08-5.46) were two independent factors related to uterine rupture. In this study, the use of prostaglandin during labor was very low (<1%). It is very essential because the use of it has been shown as significant factor associated with uterine rupture. In later study by Bujold E, et al. explained the similar results to a previous study where inter-delivery interval less than 18 months (OR 2.8; 95% CI 1.2-6.6) and single-layer closure (OR 7.5; 95% CI 3.2-17.6) were factors contributed to uterine rupture. The difference between this and previous study was in the inter-delivery interval limitation. In the later study, they found that the 18 months of inter-delivery interval was enough to minimalize the risk of uterine rupture. This study described a similar result to a study by Shipp TD, et al. They concluded that inter-delivery interval ≤18 months (OR 3.0; 95% CI 1.2-7.2) and induced with oxytocin (OR 4.9; 95% CI 1.7-14.6) were associated with the risk of uterine rupture. Meanwhile, Stamilio DM, et al. and Eposito MA, et al. used the inter-pregnancy interval term rather than inter-delivery interval. Stamilio DM, et al. explained that short inter-pregnancy interval of fewer than 6 months increased the risk for uterine rupture in patients attempted the VBAC (OR 2.66; 95% CI 1.21-5.82), composite morbidity (OR 1.95; 95% CI 1.04-3.65), and blood transfusion (OR 3.14; 95% CI 1.42-6.95). This study also revealed that patients with short inter-pregnancy interval had lower haemoglobin level on average, was younger, and was less likely to develop gestational diabetes and chronic hypertension. This study also stated finding literature concluding radiographic and hysteroscopic evidence that cesarean scar development is incomplete for as long as 6 or 12 months post-operatively. While, in the case-control study by Eposito MA, et al., the risk of uterine rupture increased in patients with inter-pregnancy interval <6 months (OR 3.92; 95% CI 1.09-14.3).

Unfortunately, studies by Kessous R, et al. and Wilson HH, et al. both showed different results.
from above. Both studies did not express association between inter-delivery interval and risk of uterine rupture. Kessous R, et al.\textsuperscript{10} stated that the risk of uterine rupture did not differ between the inter-delivery interval of less than 18 months and more than 19 months (p=0.131). This study only presented that short interval group had higher rates of preterm deliveries, lower birth weight, and prevalence of low Apgar score at 1 and 5 minutes. Huang WH, et al. similarly concluded that the difference between the group with inter-delivery interval greater and less than 19 months was not related to the symptomatic uterine rupture (p=1.00).

In Indonesia, there is still no consensus regarding VBAC and the minimal inter-delivery interval to reduce the risk of uterine rupture. Meanwhile, ACOG explained that most women with one previous cesarean delivery with a low-transverse incision should be counselled for the VBAC and offered the TOLAC. Misoprostol as prostaglandin analogue should not be used for the cervical ripening or labour induced patients with history of CD or major uterine surgery.\textsuperscript{3} The guideline by Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (RCOG) states that planned VBAC is appropriate for the majority of women with singleton pregnancy of cephalic presentation at 37-40 weeks or beyond with a single previous lower segment cesarean delivery. However, VBAC is contraindicated in women with previous uterine rupture or classical cesarean scar and in women who have other absolute contraindications to vaginal birth such as major placenta previa. The success rate of planned VBAC reaches 72-75\%. Before offering the TOLAC, the clinician has to make the individual assessment of the risk of uterine rupture.\textsuperscript{16} One of the main factors is inter-delivery interval.

After appraising the studies conducted in some countries (USA, Israel, and Canada), two studies mentioned the safe inter-delivery interval more than 18 months, two studies concluded the safe inter-pregnancy interval more than six months, and the other one said inter-delivery interval should be more than 24 months. The mother has enough time to complete exclusive breastfeeding for six months although the WHO suggests that the breastfeeding should be continued up to 2 years. The authors recommend taking inter-pregnancy interval a minimum 18 months based on the two cohort studies done by Bujold E, et al. and Shipp TD, et al. Another reason for using 18 months as the cut-off is the finding of Stamilio DM, et al that hysteroscopic and radiographic evidence stating incomplete scar healing 6-12 months. Hence, inter-delivery of 18 months is enough for a minimum complete scar healing. Nevertheless, the other factors which impact to increase the risk of uterine rupture are single-layer closure and oxytocin induction. In this era of National Health Coverage (Jaminan Kesehatan Nasional/JKN) in Indonesia, patients should be offered the TOLAC and VBAC if the requirement of minimal inter-delivery interval is fulfilled. Vaginal birth is surely more cost-effective and efficient than CD. In conclusion, when doing the counselling, the clinician should advise the TOLAC and VBAC regarding minimal inter-delivery interval and history of double-layer uterine closure to minimize the morbidity of uterine rupture.

Assessing the outcomes

Our patient would like to get pregnant as soon as possible because of her age and desired vaginal birth. Based on guideline by ACOG and RCOG, the patient with history of low-transverse incision in previous cesarean delivery can do the TOLAC and VBAC. Even, the success rate of TOLAC and VBAC in that condition reached 72-75\%. But, the inter-delivery interval has to be considered to reduce the risk of uterine rupture. After doing the appraisal, the authors suggest that equal or more than 18 months of inter-delivery interval is enough to have the minimal risk of uterine rupture. The hypothesis to explain the relationship between short interval and risk of uterine rupture is that the scar requires minimal time to heal from reaching the full strength. To support this statement, a study done by Dicle O, et al.\textsuperscript{17} reported that the zonal anatomy of uterus needed minimally six months to get back completely. Like stated before, Stamilio DM et al also found a similar finding but with a larger range of duration whereas through hysteroscopic and radiographic evidence it was stated that incomplete scar healing ranging from 6 to 12 months. Hence, inter-delivery of 18 months is enough for a minimum complete scar healing and also for completing exclusive breastfeeding for 6 months.

If the authors look at the neonatal outcome, Kessous R, et al.\textsuperscript{10} said that short inter-delivery interval was associated with preterm labor, lower
birth weight, and higher prevalence of low Apgar score at 1 and 5 minutes. Low Apgar score impacts the neonatal outcome which can end in morbidity and even mortality. The history of double-layer uterine closure would minimalize the risk of uterine rupture because study conducted in Canada revealed the risk of it was increased in the previous single-layer closure.\textsuperscript{4,7}

In this EBCR, the authors reported a woman with history of CD asking for the minimal interval for the second pregnancy to do the vaginal birth in the next pregnancy. In the previous CD, the doctor did the double-layer uterine closure. From this critical appraisal focused on one systematic review and seven articles collected from PubMed, Cochrane database, and Embase with specific criteria, the authors could summarise that the inter-delivery interval more than 18 months has the minimal risk of uterine rupture regarding the history of double-layer closure. Apart from that, for the next pregnancy, it is not recommended to be induced by misoprostol as the prostaglandin analogue. In conclusion, for the patient above, the authors advise minimal 18 months for next delivery and offer the TOLAC for the cost-effective and efficient in the era of JKN with considering the minimal risk of uterine rupture.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on evidence, the inter-delivery interval \( \geq 18 \) months is the safest time to avoid uterine rupture. Prostaglandin analogue induction should be avoided and for patients with a history of past cesarean using a single-layer closure to be educated about the increased risk.
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