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Abstract

In both EU and ASEAN a common trend towards the implementation of outcome based education (OBE) is on its way to enhance the quality of national higher education systems. Important benefit attributed to OBE is that it facilitates the transparent comparison of learning achievements and qualifications within a national system as well in an international context. In spite of the common trend, however, it has to be noted that the state of actual implementation of OBE varies substantially among different national systems. This poses, particularly, a problem in the context of international academic cooperation in which the mutual recognition of learning outcomes is crucial. This article focuses on the role of learning outcomes within a credit transfer system dedicated to the facilitation of student mobility between EU and ASEAN - the EU-ASEAN Credit Transfer System (EACTS). EACTS is being developed by a network of four partner institutions, the University of Duisburg-Essen, University of Indonesia, University of Parma and Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia and is funded under the Asia-Link programme of the European Commission. The experience gained of developing learning outcomes or similar implementation in each partner institution will be highlighted and the common similarities of the descriptions and its accomplishment are identified. It also outlines how EACTS intends to deals with the different levels of implementation of learning outcomes among EACTS partner institutions. However, more focus will be given to OBE implementation in UKM.

Introduction

In recent years, in many parts of the world, traditional education has made way for Outcome Based Education (OBE), whereby instead of focusing on the traditional education inputs which are content and time, it is now focus on what students can actually do after they go through the education process. In other words, we focus on the ‘learning outcome’, which is the ‘destination’ to be headed. The best ways to get to the
destination is by determining where it is and then plan backwards and strategise the best way to get from here to there.

The Western Australia Education Ministry define OBE as an educational process which is based on achieving certain specified outcomes in terms of individual student learning process[1]. In OBE, basic questions such as “what do you want the students to learn?”, “why do you want them to learn it?”, “how can you best help students learn it?”, and “how will you know that they have indeed have learnt?” are addressed[2].

Outcome-Based Education is getting more and more popular as a teaching approach in universities for engineering education in all countries all around the world. Countries like the United States, Australia, South Africa and Hong Kong have long adopted the OBE in significant ways. OBE concept is more or less the same as Total Quality Management movements in the business sector. It is believed that by beginning with the end, determining where they are and then where they want to be, academic goals can be reached.

OBE is now fast replacing the traditional approach in engineering education and is gaining worldwide credence. The Washington Accord (WA), which is an agreement between the bodies responsible for accrediting professional engineering degree programmes in its signatory countries, requires that OBE be practiced in the programmes the signatory organisation (EAC, etc) accredits. In 1989, eight countries have signed this multinational agreement. These signatory countries were Australia, Canada, Hong Kong, Ireland, New Zealand, South Africa, United Kingdom and United States. This accord recognized the substantial equivalency of accreditation system of organizations holding signatory status, and the engineering education programs accredited by them. In other words, all graduates of engineering programs that have been accredited in a member country are considered already fulfilling the academic requirements to enter engineering practice in all countries signing the agreement[3].

In Malaysia, the education sector involving all fields of study are moving towards OBE. This initiative is driven by the Quality Assurance Department at the Ministry of Higher Education currently known as Malaysia Quality Agency (MQA). Engineering field is regard as the pioneer in embarking OBE in Malaysia. The Engineering Accreditation Council (EAC) under the preview of the Malaysian Board of Engineers are steering the way towards the OBE implementation in Malaysia. In 2001, Malaysia applied to be a provisional member of the WA and only by June 2003 Malaysia was accepted as a provisional member[3].

In Indonesia, Competence Based Curriculum (CBC) is enforced as an educational approach based on four educational pillars which originate from the UNESCO concept. This concept is based on learning culture which is ‘learning to know’, ‘learning to do’, ‘learning to be’, and ‘learning to live together’. CBC basically does not differ much from the original Content Based Curriculum that has been implemented before hand. Not just the teaching approach needs to be adjusted base on the needs but also the learning
cultures of student have to be in parallel to the desired competencies that are hoped to be achieved[4].

While in Europe, European Qualification Network (EQF) has been enforced in the context of higher education in Europe. The EQF will provide a commonly understood reference levels on how to describe learning in any system of qualification. The core element of the EQF is a set of eight reference levels describing what a learner knows, understands and is able to do (their 'learning outcomes) regardless of the system where a particular qualification was acquired[5].

This paper focuses on the experience of implementing learning outcomes or its similar implementation in each partner institution, but will focus most on UKM as UKM has most experience in implementing OBE in engineering education. It also propose a comparison method to initiating a common definition between the partner universities in enhancing a credit transfer system dedicated to the facilitation of student mobility between EU and ASEAN, namely the EACTS.

**Common Similarities and Achievements**

EACTS is being developed by partnership between four universities in Germany, Italy, Indonesia and Malaysia and is funded by the Asia-Link Program of the European Commission. The programme aims to promote the creation of new partnerships, to reinforce existing partnerships between European and Asian universities, and to create new sustainable links. The programme is funded by the EU budget, under the budget line for ‘Political, economic and cultural cooperation with Asian developing countries’. With the title “Contribution towards an EU-ASEAN Credit Transfer System leading to Implementation of Student Mobility and Joint-Award Degree Program in Engineering Education”, a desired condition of trans-regional student mobility is being developed between the partner universities. The project is currently in its pilot implementation stage and one of the EACTS activities is to focus on an Outcome Based Education Description in engineering programs of double degree or joint degree programs among the partnership. However, UKM, UDE and UI has a long history of cooperation in implementing the Double Degree and Joint-Award Degree Programs in the Engineering field.

In 2004, the Faculty of Engineering UKM has pioneered a move to transform the undergraduate curriculum into Outcome Based Education in accordance with requirements from the Board of Engineers, Malaysia and the Malaysian Qualification Framework introduced by the Ministry of Higher Education. The Faculty of Engineering in UKM aims to produce dynamic, creative and ethical engineers and architects upon graduating from this institution[6]. Through outcome based learning, the programme educational objectives are set as bench marks to ensure the skills and knowledge acquired learned upon completion of study. Using the OBE, stakeholders play an important role in appointing the appropriate teaching approach. As a result, there are courses where the
teaching approach has been changed. Methods such as cooperative learning, student-based learning and problem based learning have been enforced as part of the curriculum.

For Indonesia, the reform of education from Knowledge Based Curriculum to Competence Based Curriculum is mainly due to the demands of the professional world. Using the CBC approach, graduates are enforced to fulfill the qualification not only on the theoretical knowledge but also the soft skills. Upon study completion, students need to accomplish certain competencies relevant to the course taken. In UI, Competence Based Curriculum is used as a teaching approach. Skills and knowledge need to be gained upon completion of course is listed out at the beginning stage. CBC has been adopted in Indonesia since 2004, being implemented in schools and higher education institute which has been enforced by the Ministry of National Education[7].

In the context of EQF, the descriptor for the bachelor degree qualification level corresponds to the learning outcomes for EQF level 6. In this level, student should have an advanced knowledge of theories and principles in the respected engineering field studied. Advance skills and competency showing a responsible engineer are to be illustrated[8].

**Comparison Method**

In order to derive the common definition of learning outcomes between partner universities of EACTS a comparison method is proposed. In reference to different implementation approach of each partner institution, it is essential to come up with a mutual understanding of a definition so as to facilitate in the student mobility scheme that is being initiated.

Figure 1 illustrate the proposal of comparing the learning outcomes between partner institution. In the first stage of comparison we try to compare the OBE and non-OBE approach by identifying the program objectives and learning outcomes for OBE and program goals and competency and skills for non-OBE. Furthermore, we try to derive a common definition of learning outcomes for example technical knowledges, generic competencies, management and organisation skills, communication and social skills, teamwork and intercultural understanding. Finally, additional criteria is decided wether it is essential or not to be included in the learning outcomes.

Table 1 shows the result of an observation of learning outcomes attributes adopted in the engineering curricular of the partner countries. Five main attributes has been observed as stated above. The “technical knowledge” is mainly the knowledge of underlying science and engineering fundamentals thought during the student study period. “Generic competencies” comprises of engineering ethics and self awareness on environmental issues in engineering practice. “Management and Organisation Skills”, “Communication and Social Skills” and “Teamwork” are soft skills adopted in the curricular. As for
“Intercultural Understanding”, is more on the intercultural experience and internationalization of students[9].
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Table 1: Learning Outcome Attributes adopted in the Engineering Curricular

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning Outcome Attribute</th>
<th>Malaysia (UKM)</th>
<th>Indonesia (UI)</th>
<th>Germany (UDE)</th>
<th>Italy (UNIPR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical Knowledge</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic Competencies</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management and Organisation skills</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the observation, the ASEAN universities show lower emphasis on technical knowledge rather than the European universities[10]. However, UKM adopts more generic competencies in the curricular compared to the other universities. In Europe, the generic skills and competency of an ethical engineer may not be emphasized highly in the higher education level. However, these generic competencies have been adopted in the lower level of education.

From a current survey carried out to 310 correspondent by lecturers from Faculty of Engineering UKM, on Students’ Perception of OBE Learning in an Engineering Course shows that high positive feedback were given on the understanding of the course importance and its objectives. However, a more neutral respond was obtained for ability to apply knowledge such as communication skills, in-depth technical competence in a related engineering field and problem solving skills that are learned during the course. This result is however expected as it was still too early for students to achieve these qualities yet. Lastly, a high positive respond was obtained on the understanding of the responsibilities of an engineer and awareness of life long learning[11].

Among the implementation to cater for additional criteria in UKM, eleven program outcomes and fifteen generic skills have been adopted in accordance with requirements from the Board of Engineers, Malaysia and the Malaysian Qualification Framework[12]. The program outcomes are assessed at the end of the studying year of the student. The Faculty of Engineering UKM has designed a measurement method of the program outcome assessment. It is assessed in parallel with the six Programme Educational Objectives (PEO) also set by the faculty. The PEO is designed with references and inputs from stakeholders and is to be achieved by students several years after their graduation.

**Conclusion**

The learning outcomes of EACTS partner have been observed. In general, we found out that ASEAN partner particularly Malaysia stretch more on generic skill in their learning outcomes where else the European Partner focus more on in depth technical content of curricular. Further work need to be carried out in order to derive the EACTS common definition of learning outcomes and the criteria that needs to be obtained by students upon completion of their studies.

**Acknowledgement**

The authors would like to acknowledge the European Commission and for supporting this research through the EU-Asialink project Grant (MY/ASIA-LINK/003 (103-674))
The authors would also like to acknowledge the Faculty of Engineering and Engineering Education Research Group of Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia for the support and funding to the project.

Reference


