Bioequivalence of Trimetazidine Modified Release Tablet Formulations Assessed in Indonesian Subjects

Yahdiana Harahap*, Budi Prasaja MM, Windy Lusthom, Hardiyanti, Fahmi Azmi, Vita Felicia and Lia Yumi Yusvita
Faculty of Pharmacy University of Indonesia, Depok, West Java, Indonesia

Abstract
This study was conducted in order to compare the bioavailability of two modified release tablets containing 35 mg of trimetazidine. Twenty-four subjects were enrolled in a single center, randomized, single dose, open label, two-way crossover study with a one-week washout period. Plasma samples were collected up 48 hours following drug administration and trimetazidine was determined by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method with turboionspray mode. Pharmacokinetic parameters used for bioequivalence assessment were AUC_{0-t}, AUC_{0-\infty}, and C_{max}. The 90% confidence intervals obtained by analysis of variance for AUC_{0-t}, AUC_{0-\infty}, and C_{max} were 94.89-105.15%, 94.85-105.23%, 93.31-107.36%, respectively. These results were all within the range of 80.00-125.00%. Bioequivalence between formulations was concluded both in terms of rate and extent of absorption.
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Introduction
Trimetazidine, 1-((2,3,4-Trimethoxybenzyl) piperazine dihydrochloride, is the first known 3-ketoacyl coenzyme A thiolase inhibitor. It is a metabolic agent with anti ischemic and anti anginal properties [1]. Trimetazidine inhibits mitochondrial long-chain 3-ketoacyl coenzyme a thiolase, so that shifts the cardiac energy metabolism from fatty acid oxidation to glucose oxidation [2]. This result is protection of this ischemic heart [3].

Trimetazidine reaches the peak plasma concentration in 5 hours after oral administration of a tablet. During a 24-hours study, the plasma levels are equal to or greater than 75% of the maximum concentration for 11 hours, while the apparent volume of distribution is 4.8 L/kg. Trimetazidine is excreted primarily through the kidneys, 79-84% of administered radioactivity appearing in the urine at 24 hours of which at least 60% was due to unchanged trimetazidine. The mean half-life of trimetazidine is 7.81 ± 2.60 hours in young healthy subjects and 11.7 ± 2.33 hours in the elderly subjects [4,5].

A modified release tablet containing 35 mg of trimetazidine has been developed in order to maintain sustained 24-hour coverage with only one tablet in the morning and one in evening. After multiple dose administration of Trimetazidine MR 35 mg in young healthy subjects, Trimetazidine reaches peak plasma concentration (C_{max}) about 91.2 ± 13.1 µg/L in range 2.0-6.0 hours [4,6].

Trimetazidine is generally better tolerated than calcium antagonist and beta-blockers. There is also no evidence that long term therapy can lead to tolerance as observed with nitrate therapy. Drowsiness and mild gastrointestinal disorders such as nausea, heartburn, and diarrhea are the most frequently reported adverse reactions, but their overall incidence is low [6-8].

This study is conducted to compare the bioavailability of two trimetazidine modified-release products in healthy Indonesian subjects.

Subjects, Materials and Methods

Ethic consideration
The protocol study was reviewed by the Committee of The Medical Research Ethics of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia (Jakarta, Indonesia) and was approved by the National Agency of Drug and Food Control (Jakarta, Indonesia). This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki for biomedical research involving human subjects and Good Clinical Practice (GCP). All participants signed a written informed consent after they had been informed of the nature and details of the study in accordance with Indonesian Guidelines for Bioequivalence Studies.

Study design
The study was a single-dose, open-label, randomized two-way crossover design under fasting period with one week wash out period. Subjects were randomized to one of the two sequences to receive the formulations according to randomization scheme. Based on previous study, the sample size n=24 subjects was sufficient to ensure power of 80% for correctly concluding bioequivalence under the following assumption: a=0.05, 0.95 < μT / μR < 1.05 and an intra-subject variability of 20% [9].

Subjects
Twenty-four subjects (21 males and 3 females) were participated in this study. The demographic data of twenty-four subjects are shown in table 1. Subjects were selected after passing a clinical screening procedure including a physical examination, ECG and clinical laboratory tests (hemoglobin, hematocrit, WBC, platelets, WBC differential, blood urea nitrogen, sGPT, sGOT, alkaline phosphatase, total bilirubin, total protein, fasting glucose, albumin, total cholesterol, creatinine, urine analysis, pregnancy test only for female subjects and negative results of Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C and HIV. Subjects were excluded if they had a history of the hepatic, renal and cardiovascular
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system, taken alcohol or other medications for a long period of time, had hypersensitivity to trimetazidine, had received any investigation drug within four weeks (or suitable longer period for slowly eliminated drugs) of enrollment and donation or loss more than 450 ml of blood within 3 months prior to the screening of the study.

Drug administration and sampling

Subjects were confined to clinical unit of Clinisindo Laboratories one night before study to assure the fasting condition (10 hours before drug administration). On the study day, subjects were given one tablet of either product with 240 ml of water. No food was allowed until 4 hours after dose administration.

Water intake was allowed 2 hours after the dose (240 ml of water for each subject) and 4 hours after the dose (480 ml of water for subjects). Standard meals were served at 4 hours (± 939 calories) and 11 hours (± 858 calories), snacks were served at 9 hours (± 165 calories) after drug administration. Total calories were calculated by nutritionist.

Blood pressure, heart rate, body temperature and adverse events were monitored during blood sampling. Nine ml of the venous blood were collected at pre dose, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 16, 24, 36 and 48 hours after drug administration in the heparinized tubes. After blood separation, plasma was frozen at -20°C until analysis.

After one week wash out period, subjects returned to Clinisindo Laboratories and the blood sample analysis was repeated in the second period in the same manner to complete the crossover design.

Analytical method

The concentration of Trimetazidine in plasma was determined using LC-MS/MS method with Turbo Ion Spray mode. Propranolol was used as the internal standard. The method has already been validated in terms of selectivity, sensitivity, linearity, accuracy and precision, recovery and stability and also been verified just before being used in study. The limit of quantification for Trimetazidine was 0.5 ng/mL. Calibration curves were prepared by spiking blank plasma at trimetazidine concentrations of 0.50, 1.00, 2.50, 5.00, 10.00, 25.00, 50.00, 100.00 and 200 ng/mL. Quality control samples were prepared by spiking blank plasma with 1.20, 80.00 and 160.00 ng/mL. The best linear fit and least-squares residual for the calibration curve were used as the internal standard. The method has already been validated in terms of selectivity, sensitivity, linearity, accuracy and precision, recovery and stability and also been verified just before being used in study. The limit of quantification for Trimetazidine was 0.5 ng/mL. Calibration curves were prepared by spiking blank plasma at trimetazidine concentrations of 0.50, 1.00, 2.50, 5.00, 10.00, 25.00, 50.00, 100.00 and 200 ng/mL. Quality control samples were prepared by spiking blank plasma with 1.20, 80.00 and 160.00 ng/mL. The best linear fit and least-squares residual for the calibration curve were achieved with 1/x weighting factor.

Liquid-liquid extraction method was investigated and evaluated for good sensitivity. The recoveries of Trimetazidine were 54.29%, 54.22%, and 54.98% at low, medium, and high QC samples. The analytical separation was performed on a Synergi 4 μ POLAR- RP-80A, 50x2.00 mm, 4 μm (Phenomenex®, USA) and protected by guard column AQ C18, 4x2.0 mm (Phenomenex®, USA). The mobile phase used gradient of 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (mobile phase A) and 0.1% formic acid in water contains 10 mM ammonium acetate (mobile phase B). A gradient was employed starting at 88% B for one minute then 50% B for 2 minutes and re-equilibrated at 88% B for 1.5 minutes. The flow rate was pumped 0.6 mL/min. The column temperature was maintained at 40°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean (± SD) Value</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ages (years)</td>
<td>28.0 ± 5.8</td>
<td>19-47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>60.6 ± 10.6</td>
<td>41-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>168.8 ± 8.0</td>
<td>149-181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Demographic data for trimetazidine bioequivalence study in 24 subjects.

Briefly, a 1 mL of human plasma in polypropylene tube was added with Propranolol (internal standard). After mixing, 200 μL of 1 M NaOH and 5 mL of ethyl acetate were added and vortex mixed for 1 minute. The mixture was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The organic phase was removed and evaporated to dryness under vacuum at 60°C for 30 min. The residue was reconstituted with methanol:water (1:1). A volume of 15 μL aliquot was injected into the LC-MS/MS system. Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) in positive ion mode was used to monitor transitions at m/z 267.2 → 181.1 for trimetazidine and m/z 260.2 → 116.2 for the internal standard. The optimal MS parameters were follows: curtain gas 40 psi, CAD 5 psi, spray voltage 4500°C, temperature of turbo ion spray 300°C, GS1 50 psi, and GS2 60 psi.

Safety evaluation

Analysis of safety-related data was considered using the more common adverse events which occurred after initiation of study treatment and supported by detailed tabulations and analysis.

Pharmacokinetic and statistical analysis

The bioequivalence was determined using the primary parameters, AUC0-t, AUC0-∞, Cmax. The maximum plasma concentration (Cmax) and time to reach maximum plasma concentration (tmax) were obtained directly by inspection of the individual drug plasma concentration time data, and were used as measures of rate of absorption. The area under the plasma concentration time curve up to the last time (t) showing a measurable concentration (C) of the analyte (AUC0-t) was calculated using the trapezoidal rule. The elimination rate constant (Kel) was calculated by the technique of least-squares regression from the data of the last 3-8 points of each plasma concentration data curve. The AUC0-∞ values were determined by adding the quotient of C and the appropriate Kel to the corresponding AUC0-t, that is:

AUC0-∞ = AUC0-t + Ct / Kel

Where, C is the estimated last plasma concentration. The apparent elimination half-life (t1/2) of trimetazidine in plasma was calculated by using the following equation:

\[ t_{1/2} = (\ln 2) / K_e \]

For the parameters of AUC0-t, AUC0-∞, and Cmax, a multiplicative model was assumed, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied using the respective ln-transformed data. For estimation of bioequivalence the 90% CI of the geometric mean ratio test/reference (T/R) for AUC0-t, AUC0-∞, and Cmax were calculated assuming a multiplicative model. The accepted bioequivalence range for these parameters was 80.00-125.00%. All statistical analyses were performed using EquivTest version 2.0 software (Statistical Solution, Cork, Ireland).

Results

Clinical observation

Both of trimetazidine formulations were well-tolerated at the administered dose and no significant adverse clinical events were observed (Figure 1). Eleven out of 24 subjects experienced 21 adverse events during the study. All adverse events were of mild intensity. There were no serious adverse events. However, all events were resolved completely. The disposition of adverse events is shown in table 2. The causality was assessed by the study physician for all adverse events.
Pharmacokinetic evaluation

Twenty-four subjects were available for pharmacokinetic evaluation. The mean trimetazidine concentrations versus time profiles for both formulations are shown in figure 2. The pharmacokinetic parameters were used to assess the bioequivalence of the test formulation versus the reference were AUC(t), AUC(∞) for the extent of the absorption and C(max) and t(max) for the rate of absorption. Descriptive statistics of the pharmacokinetic parameter for trimetazidine test and reference are summarized in table 3 where the geometric mean values and the range for the AUC(t), AUC(∞), C(max) and t(max) values obtained for each formulation are shown. The pharmacokinetic characteristic t(max) was presented as mean values. For trimetazidine, the mean obtained values for test and reference products were 61.79 and 61.74 ng/mL for C(max); 762.09 and 762.94 ng.h/mL for AUC(t); 771.87 and 772.62 ng.h/mL for AUC(∞). The median t(max) for both formulations was 5.00 h.

Discussion

Bioequivalence study conducted to ensure whether the generic. Two medicinal products are bioequivalent if they are pharmaceutically equivalent or pharmaceutical alternatives or a copy product is interchangeable to innovator products. The aim of the randomized, single blind, two-period, cross over study with a one week washout period, was to evaluate the bioavailability of the test and the reference trimetazidine modified release tablet administered as 35 mg single oral dose each.

For this study, AUC(t), AUC(∞), C(max) and t(max) were defined as the main target parameters in order to assess possible bioequivalence between both preparations. The 90% confidence interval of the relative bioavailability should be within an acceptance interval of 80.00 - 125.00%. As shown in table 3, the results of the statistical evaluation for 90% confidence interval of AUC(t), AUC(∞), C(max) and t(max) for trimetazidine were all within the bioequivalence acceptance limit of 80.00 – 125.00% [10] and no significant differences were found for t(max) as analyzed by Wilcoxon sign rank test (Table 4).

Table 3: Mean pharmacokinetic parameters for trimetazidine after administration of the two formulations to 24 subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Test Formulation</th>
<th>Reference Formulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_max (ng/mL)</td>
<td>Geometric Mean</td>
<td>61.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>32.82-104.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC(t) (ngxh/mL)</td>
<td>Geometric Mean</td>
<td>762.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>369.13-1435.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC(∞) (ngxh/mL)</td>
<td>Geometric Mean</td>
<td>771.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>374.11-458.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(1/2) (h)</td>
<td>Geometric Mean</td>
<td>6.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>4.51-10.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_max (h)</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>3.00-8.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Statistical evaluation of AUC(t), AUC(∞), C_max and t_max for trimetazidine of two formulations from 24 subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parametric Analysis</th>
<th>T/R Point Estimate</th>
<th>Confidence Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_max</td>
<td>100.09</td>
<td>93.31-107.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC(t)</td>
<td>99.89</td>
<td>94.89-105.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC(∞)</td>
<td>99.90</td>
<td>94.85-105.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t(1/2)</td>
<td>100.65</td>
<td>95.79-105.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The safety evaluation report showed that both trimetazidine formulations were well-tolerated at the administered dose and no significant adverse clinical events were observed.
In conclusion, the two trimetazidine formulations were equivalent with respect to the rate and extent of absorption and it can be assumed to be therapeutically equivalent and exchangeable in clinical practice.
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