INTRODUCTION

Chronic periodontitis is inflammation of the periodontal tissue caused by bacterial plaque infection, accompanied by periodontal attachment loss and bone destruction, as well as tooth mobility [1-3]. On the basis of periodontal pocket depth, periodontitis is classified as mild, moderate, and severe if the pocket is 1–3, 4–6, and >6 mm, respectively [3]. Bacterial endotoxin is a component of lipopolysaccharide from the Gram-negative bacterial cell wall, which is demonstrably involved in the pathogenesis of periodontal disease, and is present in dental plaque, saliva, saliva exudate, and root surfaces with periodontal pockets [4].

A surgical treatment is indicated in cases of periodontitis with residual pockets of 6 mm or more [5]. By eliminating pathological structures containing cytotoxic material, root planing aims to provide a conductive root surface to regenerate periodontal attachment [6]. The formation of cementum, periodontal ligament, alveolar bone, and gingiva is the hallmark of periodontal regeneration [7]. Periodontal regeneration depends on the presence of stem cells in the periodontal ligament and the presence of molecular signals for mobilization and stimulation of these cells [7,8]. With periodontal disease, adsorption, adhesion, and maturation of fibrin clots between the gingival flap and the root surface are the beginning of the regeneration process [9].

A bacteria-contaminated cementum and a smear layer are almost always left by scaling and root planning [10]. This circumstance complicates the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface and negatively affects periodontal regeneration [9,11]. The use of chemicals for the biomodification of the root surface (root surface conditioning) is one approach to increasing periodontal regeneration. The purposes of root conditioning are root surface detoxification, decontamination, and demineralization. This procedure eliminates the smear layer that occurs during mechanical instrumentation and exposes the dentine and cementum–collagen matrix [12]. The exposed dentine and cementum–collagen fibers will form more stable attachments to the fibrin present in the blood clot, prevent epithelial downgrowth, and form a temporary scaffold for cell growth and a mature collagen fiber adhesion [7,13].

The application of 24% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) with a pH of between 7 and 7.2 for 2-3 min achieves a more effective removal of the smear layer than that at lower concentrations [14,15]. Root surface conditioning with 24% EDTA at a neutral pH effectively eliminates the smear layer and opens the collagen matrix of dentine and cementum. Root surface conditioning with EDTA is also reported not to interfere with the vitality of the surrounding periodontium [16,17]. For these reasons, 24% EDTA at a neutral pH is considered the ideal agent for root surface conditioning [16,18].

Minocycline hydrochloride (HCl) is one of the most widely active strains of broad-spectrum tetracycline antibiotics against anaerobic Gram-negative bacteria [19]. Minocycline is also known for its inhibitory effect on collagenase [20,21]. On root surfaces, 2.1% minocycline HCl ointment can bind calcium and phosphate, opening the collagen matrix and eliminating the post-mechanical instrumentation smear layer [22]. These properties make the 2.1% minocycline HCl ointment potentially useful as a root surface conditioning agent [7,20]. In periodontal surgical treatment, Zhang et al. used the material as root surface conditioning and achieved enhanced clinical attachment compared with that achieved in treatment without root surface conditioning [20].

With root surface conditioning, clinicians expect to provide a conductive root surface for the stabilization of fibrin clots and the later attachment of connective tissue. Researchers have reported the efficacy of EDTA gel for root surface conditioning; now, minocycline HCl ointment presents an alternative. On this basis – with reference to the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface – an analysis of the extent of the effects of EDTA gel and of minocycline ointment as a root surface conditioning material is necessary. The level of fibrin clotting will be observed through scanning electron microscope (SEM).

Our general objective in this study was to analyze, in vitro, the effectiveness of the root surface conditioning material in maximizing the fibrin clot adhesion on the tooth root surface. We specifically aimed to analyze the difference between the level of fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface achieved by root planing treatment only and that achieved...
with the application of 2.1% minocycline HCl ointment or buffered 24% EDTA gel as the root surface conditioner, after root planing.

METHODS

This study was a laboratory experimental study using the cervical third of the root proximal surface (the predilection area for periodontal disease). Teeth root surfaces were derived from extracted teeth because of periodontal disease and originating from nonsmoking patients. Fifteen teeth matching the criteria were obtained from the Periodontics Clinic of Teaching Hospital Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia.

After extraction, the teeth were cleaned with distilled water and stored in saline solution until the experiment was performed. With a high-speed cylindrical bur, two parallel fissures were made on both proximal surfaces (mesial and distal): one fissure was in the cementoenamel junction, and the other fissure was more apical by 4 mm than the first fissure. The root surface between the two fissures was smoothed with a 5/6 Gracey curette using 50 one-way apico-coronal pulls to remove contaminants and create a smear layer [13,23].

The teeth were cut on the first and second fissures; then, they were cut into two parts on the axis of the tooth, thereby creating two blocks of root surface measuring 4 mm × 2 mm (Fig. 1). The resulting 30 root surface blocks were stored in containers of PBS until the treatment was completed. Samples for each treatment group were taken randomly from 30 samples, with a total of 10 samples for each treatment group [13,23].

Periocline minocycline group

The smoothed root surface blocks were rubbed with a light pressure for 1 min at a time with a soft microbrush moistened with periocline (Fig. 2) three times (that is, for 3 min in total) and irrigated them each time using 10 mL PBS between the repetitions. The peripheral blood was immediately applied after treatment [20].

PrefGel ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid group

PrefGel was dripped onto the smoothed root surface blocks to cover the entire root surface blocks and left them for 2 min (Fig. 3); after which, we irrigated them using 10 mL PBS. The peripheral blood was immediately applied after treatment [23].

Control group

Normal irrigation was applied using 10 mL saline solution. The peripheral blood was immediately applied after treatment [13,20,23].

Fresh blood applications

Competent officers took the peripheral blood. Immediately after the treatment, we dripped 0.1 mL of fresh peripheral blood from a healthy male donor onto each root surface block (Fig. 4). The blood was allowed to coagulate for 20 min and then rinsed the sample blocks three times, for 5 min each time, in a Petri dish containing PBS, in a circular motion using a low-speed tabletop shaker. The blood was applied once in a chamber with temperature of 37°C [9,13].

Sample preparation for the scanning electron microscope

Fixation was performed immediately after the application of peripheral blood to the sample. The dehydration, drying, and coating with conductive material of the samples were then evaluated with SEM.

Steps [23-25]

• Fixation with 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 30 min
• Blocks rinsed three times, for 5 min each, in a Petri dish containing PBS, in a circular motion, using a low-speed tabletop shaker
• Serial dehydration with ethanol (30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, 95%, and twice at 100%) each for 5 min
• Final dehydration with hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) twice, for 15 min each
• Sample placed in the fume chamber for 8 h at room temperature to allow for HMDS evaporation
• All steps performed at room temperature
• Sputtering/coating performed with conductive material using sputtering tool
• Samples stored in a desiccator at room temperature.

Scanning electron microscope sample evaluation

The sample was placed on the specimen plate and placed the plate on the inside of the SEM unit and then ran the SEM unit. The images were stored digitally, and they were then scored in a double-blind manner by three trained observers.

Scoring system

Determining the criteria of attachment level using SEM based on scoring [13,23,26] (Fig. 5):

• Score 0: Absence of fibrin network and blood cells
• Score 1: Scarce fibrin network and/or blood cells
• Score 2: Moderate fibrin network and moderate quantity of blood cells
• Score 3: Dense fibrin network and trapped blood cells.

Management and data analysis plan

We included, in our study, results in a categorical measurement scale with more than two unpaired groups, and we therefore tested them with a non-parametric test (table 3 × 3). To determine the level of the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface block, we used the Chi-square test with p < 0.05 regarded as statistically significant for our statistical analysis.

RESULTS

Three observers were selected and tested with the Cohen kappa test to determine agreement among observers. The kappa number was 0.861 (>0.75) between the first and the second observers, indicating a satisfactory agreement between the first and the second observers; the kappa number was 0.813 (>0.75) between the first and the third observers, indicating a satisfactory agreement between the first and
we found no significant difference (p=0.400) between the two groups. This treatment group had the highest prevalence of the solid fibrin clot adhesion (score 3) (30%). This suggested that there was good potential for facilitating fibrin clot adhesion in minocycline HCl. This potential may have been due to the ability of minocycline to eliminate the smear layer and to bind calcium phosphate, thereby opening the collagen matrix [20,29]. Zhang et al. had obtained a better clinical attachment using that material in periodontal surgical treatment than the attachment achieved without root surface conditioning [20]. The studies of Zhang et al. and Madison and Hokett (1997) also found that minocycline eliminates the smear layer more effectively than saline irrigation alone. Somerman et al.’s study also suggested that root surfaces treated with minocycline facilitate the adhesion of fibroblast cells [30].

Fibrin clot adhesion on saline irrigation and root surface conditioning using 2.1% minocycline hydrochloride ointment
We found better fibrin clot adhesion for the minocycline treatment group than for the saline irrigation alone, but the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.400) between the two groups. This treatment group had the highest prevalence of the solid fibrin clot adhesion (score 3) (30%). This suggested that there was good potential for facilitating fibrin clot adhesion in minocycline HCl. This potential may have been due to the ability of minocycline to eliminate the smear layer and to bind calcium phosphate, thereby opening the collagen matrix [20,29]. Zhang et al. had obtained a better clinical attachment using that material in periodontal surgical treatment than the attachment achieved without root surface conditioning [20]. The studies of Zhang et al. and Madison and Hokett (1997) also found that minocycline eliminates the smear layer more effectively than saline irrigation alone. Somerman et al.’s study also suggested that root surfaces treated with minocycline facilitate the adhesion of fibroblast cells [30].

Fibrin clot adhesion on saline irrigation and root surface conditioning using 24% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid gel
We found in this study that EDTA resulted in a significantly better fibrin clot adhesion (p=0.015) compared with that of the saline control. This result was in accordance with a previous study by Leite et al., comparing saline and EDTA preparations in terms of fibrin clot adhesion [23]. They stated that EDTA – unlike saline – demonstrated a remarkable ability to eliminate the smear layer and to open a cementum–collagen matrix and also worked at a neutral pH [23].

We found that most saline controls (70%) did not result in a fibrin clot adhesion (score 0). This was in accordance with the previous studies by Minocha and Rahul and Leite et al., where they suggested that most saline controls did not result in fibrin clot adhesion and at best resulted in a low fibrin clot density (score 1) [23,24]. According to Polson et al., a bacteria-contaminated cementum is almost always created and a smear layer is almost always left by the scaling and root planing procedure [10]. This circumstance, if not addressed, will complicate the attachment of fibrin clots to the root surface [11].

Fig. 4: Application of fresh human peripheral blood to obtain fibrin clots

Fig. 5: Scanning electron microscope image example of each fibrin clot score

As shown in Table 2, we found no significant difference (p=0.400) between the minocycline root surface conditioning material and saline irrigation. Thus, we rejected the hypothesis that there was a difference between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root planing only and that with root surface conditioning using 2.1% minocycline HCl ointment after root planing.

As shown in Table 2, we did, however, find a significant difference (p=0.015) between EDTA root surface conditioning and saline irrigation. Thus, we accepted the hypothesis that there was a difference between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root planing only and with root surface conditioning using 24% EDTA gel after root planing.

DISCUSSION
Changing the surface of the root that has been exposed to periodontal disease into a more appropriate environment for periodontal regeneration is an important first step in the regeneration process. Researchers have attempted to remove toxic deposits from tooth root surfaces in several ways. Scaling and root planing generates microcrystalline debris in a 2.15 mm thick smear layer, firmly attached to the root surface; this can only be removed with root surface demineralization material [24]. Hence, demineralization of the root surface has been introduced with several materials to eliminate toxic material and the smear layer from the root surface. Thereafter, the root surface conditioning material is applied to open the cementum–collagen matrix and facilitate the adhesion between the root surface and – first – the blood component at the time of surgery and – second – connective tissue at the time of healing [27,28].

The fibrin clot, in periodontal healing, forms an early adhesion to the root surface that later serves as a framework for periodontal stem cell migration and prevents deepening of the junctional epithelium. Therefore, in periodontal regeneration, the stabilization of fibrin clots plays a crucial role [9,13,24].

We aimed in this study to compare root surface conditioning using EDTA and minocycline as materials, in terms of fibrin clot adhesion to the root surface. We used the saline control to illuminate any difference in fibrin clot adhesion to the root surface using the root surface conditioning method and without the method.

In our experimental study, we used categorical variables with variability on a nominal scale (root surface conditioning) and ordinal scale (fibrin clot density) so that this study has a categorical scale variable problem. We had originally planned statistical data processing using the Chi-square test. This test, however, is not eligible for use because all cells had an expected count value of <5; we therefore replaced it with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test to determine the significant differences among treatment groups.

As shown in Table 2, we found no significant difference (p=0.400) between the minocycline root surface conditioning material and saline irrigation. Thus, we rejected the hypothesis that there was a difference between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root planing only and that with root surface conditioning using 2.1% minocycline HCl ointment after root planing.

As shown in Table 2, we did, however, find a significant difference (p=0.015) between EDTA root surface conditioning and saline irrigation. Thus, we accepted the hypothesis that there was a difference between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root planing only and with root surface conditioning using 24% EDTA gel after root planing.

In our experimental study, we used categorical variables with variability on a nominal scale (root surface conditioning) and ordinal scale (fibrin clot density) so that this study has a categorical scale variable problem. We had originally planned statistical data processing using the Chi-square test. This test, however, is not eligible for use because all cells had an expected count value of <5; we therefore replaced it with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test to determine the significant differences among treatment groups.

As shown in Table 2, we found no significant difference (p=0.400) between the minocycline root surface conditioning material and saline irrigation. Thus, we rejected the hypothesis that there was a difference between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root planing only and that with root surface conditioning using 2.1% minocycline HCl ointment after root planing.

As shown in Table 2, we did, however, find a significant difference (p=0.015) between EDTA root surface conditioning and saline irrigation. Thus, we accepted the hypothesis that there was a difference between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root planing only and with root surface conditioning using 24% EDTA gel after root planing.

As shown in Table 2, we found no significant difference (p=0.400) between the minocycline root surface conditioning material and saline irrigation. Thus, we rejected the hypothesis that there was a difference between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root planing only and that with root surface conditioning using 2.1% minocycline HCl ointment after root planing.

As shown in Table 2, we did, however, find a significant difference (p=0.015) between EDTA root surface conditioning and saline irrigation. Thus, we accepted the hypothesis that there was a difference between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root planing only and with root surface conditioning using 24% EDTA gel after root planing.

In our experimental study, we used categorical variables with variability on a nominal scale (root surface conditioning) and ordinal scale (fibrin clot density) so that this study has a categorical scale variable problem. We had originally planned statistical data processing using the Chi-square test. This test, however, is not eligible for use because all cells had an expected count value of <5; we therefore replaced it with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test to determine the significant differences among treatment groups.

As shown in Table 2, we found no significant difference (p=0.400) between the minocycline root surface conditioning material and saline irrigation. Thus, we rejected the hypothesis that there was a difference between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root planing only and that with root surface conditioning using 2.1% minocycline HCl ointment after root planing.

As shown in Table 2, we did, however, find a significant difference (p=0.015) between EDTA root surface conditioning and saline irrigation. Thus, we accepted the hypothesis that there was a difference between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root planing only and with root surface conditioning using 24% EDTA gel after root planing.
Table 2: Frequency distribution of fibrin clot density score between saline, minocycline, and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Treatment</th>
<th>Fibrin Clot Density</th>
<th>Score 0</th>
<th>Score 1</th>
<th>Score 2</th>
<th>Score 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% total</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minocycline</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% total</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% total</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% total</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p value

*Chi-square test

Table 2: Analysis of differences between fibrin clot results for 2.1% minocycline, 24% EDTA, and saline irrigation treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>saline irrigation versus minocycline</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saline irrigation versus EDTA</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA versus minocycline</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p<0.05 – significantly different.
EDTA: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

Fibrin clot adhesion to the root surface after root surface conditioning using either 2.1% minocycline hydrochloride ointment or 24% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid gel

We found no significant difference (p=0.759) between the fibrin adhesion to the root surface after conditioning with 2.1% minocycline HCl and that with buffered 24% EDTA gel after root planing. In the EDTA group, we found the highest percentage (60%) of moderate fibrin clot density (score 2). This was in accordance with Leite et al’s study, which found that 24% EDTA had a high ability to eliminate smear layers and to open the cementum–collagen matrix and worked at a neutral pH, but EDTA also had a strong calcium-binding character. Because one of the blood clotting factors is the calcium ion, this character could both inhibit the formation and adherence of blood clots to the root surface and accelerate the splitting off of the platelets that contribute to blood clotting [23]. Leite et al. found that the highest fibrin clot adhesion score (score 3) was not obtained, possibly because of the tendency of EDTA to be difficult to rinse [13], leaving residues attached to the root surface [24]. They argued that this EDTA residue may have disturbed the stability of the formed fibrin clot.

In the minocycline group, 40% of samples had a score of 0 (no fibrin clots on the root surface), and 30% of samples had score of 3 (solid fibrin clot adhesion). From this evidence, we deduced that minocycline HCl had an inconsistent ability to produce fibrin clot adhesion. This is in accordance with Shetty et al.’s study, which found the ability of minocycline to eliminate the smear layer to be less consistent than that of tetracycline [29]. The variation in fibrin clot adhesion obtained in our study may be due to the inconsistency of minocycline itself in smear layer elimination.

In their clinical study, Zhang et al. stated that the optimum viscosity of minocycline ointment simplified the control of the application area so that the damaging effects of the low pH of the material could be better controlled. When the minocycline was applied, injury to the periodontal ligament cells could be avoided by the use of cotton to protect the base area of the defect. Lee et al and Pitaru et al. also accepted the possibility that this result could be due to the periodontal stem cells more easily attaching to the treated root surfaces [31,32]. It can therefore be assumed that the regeneration process is highly dependent on the vitality of the periodontal ligament cells. An investigation into the toxic effects of root surface conditioning materials on periodontal ligament cells and how these materials affect the stem cell attachment to the root surface would be an important and necessary step in periodontal regeneration research.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study demonstrated that there was no difference between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root planing only and that with root surface conditioning using 2.1% minocycline HCl ointment after root planing. We also found no difference, after root planing, between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root surface conditioning using 2.1% minocycline HCl ointment and that using buffered 24% EDTA gel. We found a significant difference only between the fibrin clot adhesion on the root surface with root planing only and that with root surface conditioning using 24% EDTA gel after root planing.
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