

Marketing Impact of Halal Labeling toward Indonesian Muslim Consumer's Behavioral Intention

Imam Salehudin* and Bagus Adi Luthfi

The purpose of this paper is to test the applicability of the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) in explaining the intention to switch from products without certified Halal labels within a wide array of purchase context, especially in the purchase of food and medicine products. A policy capturing questionnaire was used to elicit responses from consumers using a convenience sampling technique. A total of 7500 responses were obtained from 150 participating respondent in 50 different scenario cases. Data is analyzed using Multi-Group Structural Equation Modeling. The study finds that the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) is not completely valid to explain both the behavioral intention of Muslim consumers in Indonesia to seek information about the Halal certification of a product and to cancel their purchase if the product did not have Halal certification. Differences in magnitude and significance of causal relationships exist between different product categories.

Keywords: Purchase behavior, halal label, muslim consumer

Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk menguji aplikasi Teori Perilaku Terencana dalam menjelaskan intensi konsumen muslim untuk membatalkan pembelian produk yang tidak memiliki label sertifikasi halal dalam berbagai konteks pembelian, terutama produk makanan dan obat-obatan. Sebuah kuesioner policy capturing digunakan untuk memperoleh respon dari konsumen muslim yang dipilih dengan convenience sampling. Pengumpulan data mendapatkan 7500 respon yang diperoleh dari 150 partisipan muslim dengan 50 kasus skenario yang berbeda. Data yang diperoleh kemudian dianalisa menggunakan Multi-Group Structural Equation Modeling. Penelitian ini menemukan bahwa Teori Perilaku Terencana tidak dapat sepenuhnya valid dalam menjelaskan intensi perilaku konsumen muslim di Indonesia untuk mencari informasi terkait sertifikasi halal sebuah produk, maupun membatalkan pembelian ketika label sertifikasi halal produk tersebut tidak ditemukan. Perbedaan dalam besaran dan signifikansi jalur ditemukan antara kategori produk yang berbeda.

Introduction

Indonesia, country with the largest number of Muslims in the world, is also a large potential market for consumables such as foods, drinks and OTC medicine products. Foreign marketer of these products, however, must have good understanding of the local consumers and operate carefully in order to avoid offending the locals and obtain good foothold in the market.

Islam is not only a religion, but also a way of life. Muslims have strict commandment regarding what they consume. Allah *Subhanahu*

Wa Ta'ala commands Muslims to consume only things that are good and *Halal* (Al Qur'anul Karim, 16:114; 23:51). *Halal*, which is the opposite of haram, is a term to say that something is **not** forbidden to be consumed by the scriptures of Qur'an, by the saying of the prophet or by the *ijma'* (consensus) of the *ulama'*. His Prophet, Muhammad *Shollallohu Alayhi Wa Sallam*, also forbids his *ummat* to avoid consuming things that are ambiguous whether it is *Halal* or haram

* Department of Management, Faculty of Economics, University of Indonesia. Email: gsimam@gmail.com; imams@ui.ac.id

(Imam Nawawi, hadist by Bukhari and Muslim). These commandments regulate the lives of Muslims worldwide and its compliance is mandatory. Of course, the actual compliance to this commandment differs between individuals depending on their own religiosity (Susilowati, 2010).

In order to protect the rights of Muslim consumers to obey their commandment in consuming only *Halal* products, certification institutions emerged in several countries around the world to provide certifications to different food, drinks and medicine products that it is free of *haram* components. One such institution emerged in Indonesia, under the MUI (Indonesian *Ulama'* Assembly), called LPPOM-MUI. *Halal* certification from LPPOM-MUI is also recognized internationally (Republika Online, 2009).

The desire to comply with the commandment in consuming only *Halal* products could create consumer involvement and influence consumer's purchase decision in choosing what product they consume. The *Halal* certification provided by LPPOM-MUI can provide these Muslim consumers with the assurance they can rely on. Thus, attention on the importance of *Halal* labeling in Indonesia is now growing. *Halal*-conscious consumer segment is getting bigger and the *Halal* Product Protection Act is being drafted (Sucipto, 2009).

Not only in Indonesia, the awareness for *Halal* certification among Muslim consumers in neighboring Muslim country of Malaysia is also growing and Muslim consumers are getting more sensitive to those issues (Sadek, 2001). Muslims in Malaysia are beginning to question and avoid products with no *Halal* certification, especially foreign products (Aliman and Othman, 2007). Understanding purchase behavior of Muslim consumer regarding *Halal* Labeling is therefore imperative for marketer doing business in a Muslim country.

Literature Review

In order to understand how the *Halal* certification label influences the behavior of Muslim consumers, a theoretical framework is necessary. Lada, Tanakinjal dan Amin (2009) discovered that the theory of reasoned action (TRA) is applicable to explain the intention of Muslim consum-

ers in Malaysia to choose products with the *Halal* label. TRA was developed by Fishbein and Ajzen to explain the psychological process in regard of how under the assumption that every conscious behavior starts from a behavioral intention, an individual's beliefs about the outcome and the social pressures of a certain behavior would influence their intention to perform the said behavior thus influencing the behavior itself. TRA was further developed into the theory of planned behavior (TPB) by Icek Ajzen by adding a third belief to increase its domain of explanation (Ajzen, 2004). The third belief added was called Perceived Behavioral Control, which in essence is the self efficacy of the individual regarding a certain behavior.

Thus, the purpose of this paper is to test the applicability of the theory of planned behavior (TPB) in explaining how the *Halal* certification label influence the behavioral intention of Muslim consumers within a wide array of purchase context, especially in the purchase of food, drink and over the counter medicine products in Indonesia. There are two behavioral intention of the Muslim consumers in which the model will be tested, one is the intention of Muslim consumer to seek information regarding the *Halal* certification of a certain product (i.e. looking for it in the packaging; asking the proprietor, etc.) and the other is the intention to cancel the purchase of certain products without *Halal* certification labels.

Methods

Research Design

This research is designed as a quasi experimental research using the policy capturing method. Kline and Sulsky (1995) elaborate the main research question in policy capturing studies: "What decision would individuals take with the available information?" Policy capturing is performed by exposing the respondent to a series of stimulus in the form of situational scenarios and measuring their response for each scenario. Researchers would then use regression analysis to measure the effect of each stimulus to the response measured (Aiman-Smith, Scullen & Barr, 2002).

This method is more commonly used in the field of human resources, such as researches in how personal and organizational characteristics influences recruitment and selection process (Graves, & Karren, 1992), performance appraisals and reward allocation decisions and satisfactions (Hobson & Gibson, 1983; Beatty, McCune, & Beatty, 1988; Deshpande, & Schoderbek, 1993; Zhou & Martocchio, 2001; Hu, Hsu, Lee & Chu, 2007; Law & Wong, 1998; Barclay & York, 2003) or how job-seeker chooses the company they intend to work in (Aiman-Smith, Bauer & Cable, 2001; Williamson, Cope, Thompson & Wuensch, 2002; Slaughter, Richard & Martin, 2006).

Policy capturing is also used in marketing research, even though much less often, such as in consumer product selection (Brinberg, Bumgardner & Daniloski, 2007). In this research, policy capturing is used to capture the decision of consumers to seek information regarding *Halal* certification of a certain product and to cancel the purchase if no *Halal* certification is found.

Population and Sampling of Respondent

This research uses quasi-experimental design in which internal validity is more paramount than external validity, thus probabilistic sampling design is less essential to the methodology. Subjects were recruited using non probabilistic cluster sampling from the Muslim undergraduate students currently studying in the University of

Indonesia. During the data collection period, 150 subjects were recruited to participate in the data collection. All subjects recruited were participating voluntarily in this research.

Data Collection

The data used in this research was gathered in a period of five days, between 5th and 9th July 2010. Data collection was conducted by two assistant supervised by a researcher. Data collection was performed by giving each subject a set of questionnaire consisting of 4 questions about subject profiles, 20 items measuring individual beliefs, 17 items measuring actual *Halal* literacy, 10 scenarios measuring *Halal* information seek intention, and 40 scenarios measuring purchase cancel intention. Verified questionnaires were then inputted to Microsoft Excel for further analysis using advanced statistical tools. The list of variables used in the questionnaire is shown at Table 1.

Result and Discussion

The method of analysis employed to test the hypotheses in this research is Multi-group Structural Equation Modeling (MG-SEM) using LISREL for WINDOWS 8.51 Full Version (Jöreskog dan Sörbom, 2001). Structural Equation Modeling is an analysis method employed to test structural models that depicts structural relationships between latent constructs. Multi-

Table 1. List of Variables and Their Operationalization

Independent Variables	Operationalization
X1= Attitude toward Halal Compliance	: Individual belief about the personal evaluation regarding the good compliance to the commandment about Halal consumption
X2= Subjective Norms regarding Halal Compliance	: Individual belief about the social expectations regarding the good compliance to the commandment about Halal consumption
X3= Perceived Behavioral Control	: Individual belief about the sufficiency of resources required to perform good compliance to the commandment about Halal consumption
X4= Actual Behavioral Control	: Actual sufficiency of resources required to perform good compliance to the commandment about Halal consumption
Situational Variables	Operationalization
X5A= Origin of the Product	Imported or local product
X5B= Halal Labels	Non-MUI* Halal label or no Halal label
X5C= Availability of Alternatives	The availability of alternative product with the MUI Halal certification
Dependent Variables	Operationalization
Y1= Halal Info-Seek Behavioral Intention	Behavioral intention to seek information regarding the existence of Halal certification of a product
Y2= Halal Switching Behavioral Intention	Behavioral intention to cancel purchase if no Halal label is found

*) Non-MUI Halal label is every Halal labels that came from the producer or other institution without the certification of MUI or accredited Halal certification institution.

group analysis is employed to compare model fitness and path coefficients of the structural model between groups of observation. In this case, the model will be compared across different product contexts.

Measurement Model

Testing the construct validity of the measurement used in this research is the first step of analysis required before the structural model can be tested. Good construct validity of the instrument must be established before any conclusion about the causal relationship among constructs can be determined.

The initial measurement model yields a chi-square value of 740.36 with degree of freedom as much as 588, thus a p-value of 0.00002 was obtained. This result showed a non-valid model and was modified in order to improve the chi-square. One item from Subjective Norms and nine items from Actual Behavioral Control were found not valid and excluded from the instrument. Modifications include adding error covariance between several items. There were two pairs of error covariance added between three items in the Attitude construct, while two pairs of error covariance were added between four items in the Perceived Behavioral Control construct.

The improved measurement model obtained from the modification yields a chi-square value of 246.08 with degree of freedom as much as 220, thus a p-value of 0.10961 was obtained. This result showed a valid measurement model and further analysis on the structural model can be resumed. The final result for the valid measurement model is shown at Table 2.

Multi-Group Structural Model

The first hypothesis is that TPB can be applied to the behavioral intention to seek information (Y1) regarding the existence of *Halal* certification of a product for five different product contexts. The structural model testing yields a chi-square value of 2436 with degree of freedom as much as 1400, thus a p-value of 0.0000 was obtained. This result showed a non-valid model. This result is not usable, however, because the number of observation used in this testing is large (n=1500). Chi-square was found to be overly sensitive bias toward large number of n, thus even a very small number of chi-square could be rejected (Meuleman and Billiet, 2009). Thus, for model fit testing with large number of observation, RMSEA would be more reliable as measurement of fit. The structural model yields RMSEA of 0.050, thus because the RMSEA value is

Table 2. Result of the Valid Measurement Model

No.	Latent Variable	Indicator	SLF*	T-Value	Error
1	Attitude	A1	0.35	3.82	0.88
		A2	0.60	6.37	0.64
		A3	0.52	5.63	0.73
		A4	0.33	3.62	0.89
		A5	0.46	5.07	0.79
		A6	0.59	6.73	0.65
		A7	0.58	6.38	0.66
2	Subjective Norms	S1	0.61	6.81	0.63
		S2	0.45	4.86	0.80
		S3	0.62	6.92	0.62
		S4	0.54	5.93	0.71
		S6	0.41	4.43	0.83
		C1	0.68	8.24	0.53
3	Perceived Behavioral Control	C2	0.79	10.06	0.38
		C3	0.66	8.30	0.56
		C4	0.46	5.34	0.79
		C5	0.63	8.28	0.54
		C6	0.74	9.34	0.45
		4	Actual Behavioral Control	D3	0.20
D14	0.29			3.47	0.92
D15	0.27			3.34	0.93
D16	1.00			9.97	0.00
D17	0.68			7.37	0.54

*)SLF: Standardized Loading Factor

lower than 0.8 the structural model is considered to have good model fit. Summarized path coefficients and the path diagram of the structural model are shown at Figure 1.

The second hypothesis is that TPB can be applied to the behavioral intention to cancel purchase if no *Halal* label is found (Y2) for five different product contexts. The structural model testing yields a chi-square value of 9158.72 with degree of freedom as much as 1619, thus a p-value of 0.062 was obtained. This result also showed a non-valid model. However, because the number of observation used in this testing is also large (n=6000) the chi-square result can also be ignored and substituted with RMSEA as explained in the above. Thus, the structural model yields RMSEA of 0.062, thus because the RMSEA value is lower than 0.8 the structural model

is considered to have good model fit. Summarized path coefficients and the path diagram of the structural model are shown at Figure 2.

Based on the result of data analysis above, each structural model are valid in explaining their respective behavioral intentions. However, the analysis shows that different path coefficients exist between product categories and some path coefficients are even consistently insignificant across product categories.

The structural model for Y1 shows that Attitude (X1) is consistently significant in explaining behavioral intention (Y1) across product categories. Subjective Norms (X2), however, is consistently not significant in explaining behavioral intention (Y1) across product categories. Thus, the hypothesis that X2 influences Y1 is rejected outright. On the other hand, even though Per-




Figure 1. Path Diagram of Structural Model Y1

Table 3. Summarized Path Coefficient of Structural Model Y1

				PROD1	PROD2	PROD3	PROD4	PROD5
X1	à	Y1	SLF	0.50	0.47	0.65	0.71	0.45
			T-Val	2.53	2.38	3.30	3.60	2.31
X2	à	Y1	SLF	0.02	0.09	-0.02	-0.07	0.03
			T-Val	0.11	0.46	-0.12	-0.34	0.14
X3	à	Y1	SLF	-0.23	-0.28	-0.27	-0.44	-0.27
			T-Val	-2.47	-3.00	-2.78	-4.54	-2.89
X4	à	Y1	SLF	0.14	0.23	0.14	0.06	0.15
			T-Val	1.64	2.75	1.70	0.68	1.77
X5A	à	Y1	SLF	0.01	0.00	-0.02	-0.07	0.18
			T-Val	0.17	0.03	-0.31	-0.82	2.26
X1	à	X3	SLF	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32
			T-Val	4.14	4.15	4.12	4.16	4.13
X4	à	X3	SLF	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07
			T-Val	-1.14	-1.14	-1.15	-1.15	-1.15

X1: Attitude, X2: Subjective Norms, X3: Perceived Behavioral Control, X4: Actual Behavioral Control, X5A: Product Origin, Y1: Halal Info-Seek.




Figure 2. Path Diagram of Structural Model Y2

Table 4. Summarized Path Coefficient of Structural Model Y2

				PROD1	PROD2	PROD3	PROD4	PROD5
X1	à	Y2	SLF	0.21	0.19	2.15	0.19	0.25
			T-Val	2.51	2.22	8.77	2.21	2.88
X2	à	Y2	SLF	0.18	0.25	-1.31	0.25	0.21
			T-Val	1.89	2.58	-14.03	2.67	2.20
X3	à	Y2	SLF	-0.15	-0.20	-0.25	-0.18	-0.22
			T-Val	-3.79	-4.95	-5.01	-4.30	-5.28
X4	à	Y2	SLF	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.10	0.16
			T-Val	3.56	4.46	2.85	3.00	4.73
X5A	à	Y2	SLF	-0.01	-0.02	-0.06	0.00	0.08
			T-Val	-0.43	-0.47	-1.32	0.05	2.16
X5B	à	Y2	SLF	-0.45	-0.40	-0.49	-0.37	-0.43
			T-Val	-13.08	-11.44	-11.73	-10.57	-12.26
X5C	à	Y2	SLF	0.30	0.26	0.25	0.31	0.25
			T-Val	8.55	7.56	5.89	9.03	7.10
X1	à	X2	SLF	0.65	0.65	1.24	0.65	0.65
			T-Val	11.37	11.36	8.24	11.37	11.38
X2	à	X3	SLF	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.31
			T-Val	8.08	8.08	7.92	8.09	8.05
X4	à	X3	SLF	-0.06	-0.07	-0.06	-0.06	-0.06
			T-Val	-2.07	-2.09	-1.95	-2.07	-2.07

X1: Attitude, X2: Subjective Norms, X3: Perceived Behavioral Control, X4: Actual Behavioral Control, X5A: Product Origin, X5B: Type of Halal Labels, X5C: Availability of Halal Alternatives, Y2: Halal Product-Switch.

ceived Behavioral Control (X3) is consistently significant in explaining behavioral intention (Y1) across product categories, the coefficient is negative. This negative sign is contrary to the theoretical framework thus this hypothesis is also rejected.

One possible explanation is that Perceived Behavioral Control, as the individual belief about the sufficiency of resources required to perform good compliance to the commandment about *Halal* consumption, is subjective. Thus it may be possible for individuals to overrate or underrate their own behavioral control. This explanation is also supported by the structural model. The structural model for Y1 shows that Attitude (X1) is

consistently significant in explaining Perceived Behavioral Control (X3), while the Actual Behavioral Control (X4) is consistently not significant in explaining Perceived Behavioral Control (X3). This shows that individual perceived their behavioral control based on their attitude and not their actual capabilities. This bias would create overconfidence in individuals that increase their tendency to underestimate the importance of *Halal* label certification.

The structural model comparison for Y1 shows that differences of path coefficients exist between product categories. The path of Actual Behavioral Control (X4) in explaining behavioral intention (Y1) is only significant for Vegetable

based Foods products, while the path of Product Origin (X5A) in explaining behavioral intention (Y1) is only significant for Fast Food Franchises.

The structural model for Y2 shows that Attitude (X1) is also consistently significant in explaining behavioral intention (Y2) across product categories. Subjective Norms (X2), however, is inconsistent in explaining behavioral intention (Y2) across product categories. X2 is only significant in explaining behavioral intention (Y2) for Vegetable based Foods, Over the Counter Medicines and Fast Foods Franchises while not significant for Animal/Meat based Foods and Packaged Beverages. Thus, the hypothesis that X2 influences Y1 is rejected because it can not be generalized over different product context.

Similar to the previous model, Perceived Behavioral Control (X3) also have consistently significant negative coefficient in explaining behavioral intention (Y2) across product categories. The previous explanation that individual tends to overrate or underrate their actual behavioral control is even further supported by the structural model.

The structural model for Y2 shows that Attitude (X1) is also consistently significant in explaining Perceived Behavioral Control (X3), while the Actual Behavioral Control (X4) have consistently significant negative coefficient in explaining Perceived Behavioral Control (X3). This shows that people with higher behavioral control may tend to underrate their own *Halal* literacy or people with low behavioral control may tend to overrate their own *Halal* literacy. Actual Behavioral Control (X4), however, have consistently significant positive coefficient in explaining behavioral intention (Y2). This finding further support the postulation that people with low *Halal* literacy tend to be overconfidence about their behavioral control and tend to underestimate the importance of *Halal* labels. This would negatively influence their behavioral intention to seek information regarding *Halal* labels and to cancel purchase if no *Halal* labels are found.

Similar to the Y1 model, the structural model for Y2 also shows that Product Origin (X5A) have positive significant coefficient in explaining behavioral intention (Y1) for Fast Food Franchises only. This shows that the impact of *Halal* label toward information seek and switch-

ing intention is greater for Fast food franchises, thus foreign franchises have greater importance in registering their product for halal certification than local franchises.

The interesting conclusion from structural model for Y2 is that Non MUI Labels (X5B) have consistently significant negative coefficient in explaining behavioral intention (Y2). This shows that even *Halal* labels without certification from the legitimate institution still have significant influence in reducing the switching intention of Muslim consumers. This could be dangerous if irresponsible marketer put *Halal* labels on product that contain *haram* substances.

On the other hand, the existence of alternative product with *Halal* Label (X5C) would significantly increase the intention of Muslim consumer to cancel purchases in no *halal* label is found (Y2). This could be an important opportunity for competing products that wants to attract new customers and capture the market share of existing products that have no *halal* labels. The existing products that have no *halal* labeling would also need to cover this threat by certifying their own product to prevent the loss of market share because of this *halal* issue.

Conclusion

It can be concluded from the discussion above that Ajzen's Theory of Planned Behavior is not fully applicable to explain the behavioral intention of Muslim consumers to seek information regarding *Halal* label (Y1) and to cancel purchase if no *Halal* label is found (Y2). Even though the structural models have good fit, differences in magnitude and significance of causal relationships exist between different product categories.

This shows that regarding the impact of *halal* labels, the same person might have different behaviors across different product categories. Thus further testing would be required to inquire whether the model can be generalized to wider context of products. Modifications to the model would also be of use, by adding multiple attitudes and behavioral control variables to explain behavioral intention. Further research on the negative effect of perceived behavioral control should also be of academic value.

References

- Al Qur'anul Karim.
- Imam Nawawi. Arba'in (40 hadist) An-Nawawi. 6th hadist; by Bukhari and Muslim.
- Norman, L. (1977) *Human Information Processing, 2nd Ed.* San Diego, CA: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978) *Psychometric Testing, 2nd ed.* New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Wiederman, M. W. (1999). *Policy capturing Methodology in Sexuality Research. The Journal of Sex Research*, 36 (1), pp. 91-95.
- Ajzen, I. (2004). Behavioral Interventions Based on the Theory of Planned Behavior.
- Republika Online (2009) *Sertifikasi Halal LPPOM MUI Diakui Dunia*. 26 October 2009. Accessed from: <http://www.republika.co.id/berita/dunia-islam/info-halal/09/10/26/84892-sertifikasi-halal-lppom-mui-diakui-dunia>
- Sucipto (2009) *Label Halal Dan Daya Saing Waralaba*. Harian Pikiran Rakyat, Jumat 3 April 2009.
- Soesilowati, E.S. (2010) *Behavior of Muslims in Consuming Halal Foods: Case of Bantenese Muslim*. Presented in "Sharia Economics Research Day" Seminar, Widya Graha LIPI, 6 Juli 2010
- Aliman, N.K. dan Othman, M.N. (2007) *Purchasing Local and Foreign Brands: What Product Attributes Matter?* Proceedings of the 13th Asia Pacific Management Conference, Melbourne, Australia, pp 400-411
- Barclay, L. A. & York, K. M. (2003). Clear Logic and Fuzzy Guidance: A *Policy capturing* Study of Merit Raise Decisions. *Public Personnel Management*, 32 (2), pp. 287.
- Deshpande, S. P. & Schoderbek, P. P. (1993). Pay-Allocations by Managers: A Policy-Capturing Approach. *Human Relations*. 46 (4), pp. 465-479.
- Graves, L. M. & Karren, R. J. (1992). Interviewer Decision Processes and Effectiveness: An Experimental Policy-Capturing Investigation. *Personnel Psychology*. 45 (2). Pp. 313-340.
- Hobson, C. J. & Gibson, F. W. (1983). *Policy capturing* As an Approach to Understanding and Improving Performance Appraisal: A Review of Literature. *Academy of Management. The Academy of Management Review*, 8 (4), pp. 640-649.
- Law, K. S. & Wong, C. S. (1998). Relative Importance of Referents on Pay Satisfaction: A Review and Test of a New Policy-Capturing Approach. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 71, pp. 47-60.
- Meuleman, B. & Billiet J. (2009) A Monte Carlo sample size study: How many countries are needed for accurate multilevel SEM? *Survey Research Methods*.3(1) pp. 45-58
- Zhou, J. & Martocchio, J. J. (2001). Chinese and American Managers' Compensation Award Decisions: A Comparative Policy-Capturing Study. *Personnel Psychology*, 54 (1), pp. 115-145.
- Aiman-Smith, L., Bauer, T. N. & Cable, D. M. (2001). Are You Attracted? Do You Intend to Pursue? A Recruiting Policy-Capturing Study. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 16 (2), pp. 219-237.
- Aiman-Smith, L., Scullen, S. E. & Barr, S. H. (2002). Conducting Studies of Decision Making In Organizational Contexts: A Tutorial for Policy-Capturing and Other Regression-Based Techniques. *Organizational Research Methods*, 5 (4), pp. 388-414.
- Beatty, J. R., McCune, J. T. & Beatty, R. W. (1988). A Policy-Capturing Approach To The Study Of United States and Japanese Managers' Compensation Decision. *Journal of Management*, 14 (3), pp. 465-1988.
- Brinberg, D., Bumgardner, M. & Daniloski, K. (2007). Understanding Perception of Wood Household Furniture: Application of a *Policy capturing* Approach. *Forest Products Journal*, 57 (7/8), pp. 21-26.
- Lada, S., Tanakinjal, G.H., and Amin, H. (2009) Predicting intention to choose halal products using theory of reasoned action. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*. 2(1) pp. 66-76. www.emeraldinsight.com/1753-8394.htm

Slaughter, J. E., Richard, E. M. & Martin, J. H. (2006). Comparing the Efficacy of Policy-Capturing Weights and Direct Estimates for Predicting Job Choice. *Organizational Research Methods*, 9 (3), pp. 285-314.

Williamson, C. L., Cope, J. G., Thompson, L. F. & Wuensch, K. L. (2002). *Policy capturing* as a Tool to Enhance Recruiting. *Career Development International*, 7 (3), pp. 159-166.

Hu, H. H., Hsu, C. T., Lee, W. R. & Chu, C. M. (2007). A Policy-Capturing Approach to Comparing the Reward Allocation Decisions of Taiwanese and US Managers. *Social Behavior and Personality*, 35 (9), pp. 1235-1250.

Appendix

Appendix 1. Sample Questions for Independent Variables

Independent Variables	Operationalization	Scale
X1= Attitude toward Halal Compliance	Flesh that grows from foods and drinks that are haram (forbidden) will be touched by the fires of Hell	5 point Likert Scale
X2= Subjective Norms regarding Halal Compliance	It is mandatory for every Muslim to check the halal certification before they consume something	5 point Likert Scale
X3= Perceived Behavioral Control	I am capable in identifying which product is halal and which product is haram	5 point Likert Scale
X4= Actual Behavioral Control	To dine in restaurants that also serve alcohol is...	True-False (Halal-Haram-Don't Know)

Appendix 2. Sample Policy Capturing Questions

Y1	Y2
Halal Info-Seek Behavioral Intention If you are going to purchase a certain imported meat-based food product, how likely are you to check whether the product you are going to purchase have halal certification?	Halal Switching Behavioral Intention If it so happens that the product that you are going to purchase have no halal certification, while alternative product with halal certification is available, how likely are you to <u>cancel</u> your intended purchase?
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center;"> Im-possible 1<input type="radio"/> 2<input type="radio"/> 3<input type="radio"/> 4<input type="radio"/> 5<input type="radio"/> 6<input type="radio"/> 7<input type="radio"/> 8<input type="radio"/> Cer-tain </div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: flex; align-items: center;"> Im-possible 1<input type="radio"/> 2<input type="radio"/> 3<input type="radio"/> 4<input type="radio"/> 5<input type="radio"/> 6<input type="radio"/> 7<input type="radio"/> 8<input type="radio"/> Cer-tain </div>

Appendix 3. Descriptive Result of Responses Grouped By Categories

Y1: Halal Info-Seek Behavioral Intention

Product	Meat-based		Veggie-based		Beverages		OTC Meds		Fast Foods	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Imported?	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Average	5.78	5.82	5.52	5.57	5.65	5.82	5.48	5.47	5.92	5.70
Std-Dev	2.00	1.87	2.00	1.99	1.97	2.85	2.05	2.06	1.90	1.91
n	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600

Y2: Halal Switching Behavioral Intention

Product	Meat-based		Veggie-based		Beverages		OTC Meds		Fast Foods	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Imported?	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Average	6.17	6.13	5.77	5.76	5.74	5.80	5.47	5.63	6.27	5.84
Std-Dev	1.57	1.65	1.84	1.85	1.79	1.78	1.94	1.93	1.63	1.71
n	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150

ASEAN MARKETING JOURNAL

ASEAN MARKETING JOURNAL

Management Research Center (MRC)
Department of Management,
Faculty of Economics, Universitas Indonesia
Depok Campus, West Java, Indonesia
Phone: +62 21 7272425 ext. 503
Fax: +62 21 7863556

e-mail: mrc@pascafe.ui.ac.id
<http://pascafe.ui.ac.id>



Wahyuningsih

Customer Value, Satisfaction and Behavioral Intentions:
the Effects of Consumer Search Behavior

*Ayed Moh'd Al Muala,
Nik.Kamariah Nik Mat,
and Filzah Mohd Isa*

Assessing Actual Visit Behavior through Antecedents of Tourists Satisfaction among International Tourists

*Imam Salebudin and
Bagus Adi Luthfi*

Marketing Impact of Halal Labeling toward Indonesian Muslim Consumer's Behavioral Intention

*Arga Hananto and
Taryadi*

Analysis of Relationship between Pioneer Brand Status and Consumer's Attitude toward a Brand

Ihwan Susila

Perceived Justice in Political Marketing

Editor in Chief

Tengku Ezni Balqiah, *Universitas Indonesia*

Vice Editor

Rizal Edy Halim, *Universitas Indonesia*

Managing Editor

Putri Mega Desiana

Layout and Typesetting

Shafruddin Nusantara

Administration

Angtyasti Jiwasiddi

HONORARY BOARD OF EDITORS

Philip Kotler, Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University, Illinois

Warren J. Keagan, Lubin School of Business, Pace University, NY

Pieter C. Verhoef, University of Groningen

A. Parasuraman, University of Miami

Ajay K. Kohli, Georgia Tech University, Georgia, USA

Siew Meng Leong, National University of Singapore

Paul Petterson, The University of New South Wales

The *Asean Marketing Journal* (ISSN 2085-5044) is dedicated to exploring, disseminating and discussing the latest issues and developments of marketing, particularly those related or relevant to the advancement of marketing in Indonesia. The mission of the editorial board of AMJ is to present the latest thinking and research that tests, extends, and develops management theories or those contributes to marketing practices.

Published twice a year (June and December) by:

Management research Center (MRC),

Department of Management, Faculty of Economics Universitas Indonesia, Depok – Indonesia.

Phone : +62-21 7272425 ext 503

Fax : +62-21 7863556

Email : mrc@ui.ac.id and mrc.ppimfeui@gmail.com

Copyright © 2011 Management Research Center (MRC), Department of Management, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Indonesia

Manuscripts

Manuscripts must be double-spaced on 8½ x 11". Manuscripts length should be reasonable for the contribution offered. Soft Copy of the article should be sent to:

Tengku Ezni Balqiah, Editor in Chief

Email: tengku.ezni@ui.ac.id ; tebalqiah@yahoo.com

Rizal Edi Halim, Vice Editor

Email: rizal.edy@ui.ac.id ; rizaledy@gmail.com

**Department of Management,
Faculty of Economics, University of Indonesia**

Kampus UI Depok, Indonesia

Phone: +62 21 7272425 ext. 201

Fax: +62 21 7863556

Manuscripts are received by the editor, members of The AMJ Editorial Review Board, and occasional reviewers. The author's name and affiliation are removed before reviewing in order to ensure objectivity. Please do not identify the author(s) in the body of the paper either directly or by citation.

With the submission of a manuscript, the following three items should be included:

- Cover page showing title, each author's name, affiliation, complete address, telephone and fax number
- Abstract of up to 150 words
- Keywords

Mathematical Notation

Notations should be clearly explained within the text. Equations should be centered on the page. If equations are numbered, type the number in parentheses flush with the right margin. Unusual symbols and Greek letters should be identified. For equations that may be too wide to fit in a single column, indicate appropriate breaks.

Tables and Figures

Indicate table placements within text. Camera-ready tables should be typed flush with the left-hand margin and have proper labelling of sources, column headings, and other notations. Once the manuscript has been accepted for Publication, complex tables and figures (diagrams, charts, graphs, etc.) should be prepared professionally for camera-ready reproduction.

References

Reference citations within the text should consist of the author's last name and date of publication, without punctuation, enclosed within parentheses, and should be inserted before punctuation and/or at a logical break in the sentence. If several citations are needed, separate them with semicolons, and list alphabetically. If two or more works by an author have the same year, distinguish them by placing a, b, etc. after the year

References should be double-spaced and attached on a separate page. Works by single author, list chronologically; two authors, alphabetically and then chronologically; three authors, the same; four or more, list chronologically. References should be in the following format:

Books:

Bagozzy, Richard P. (1980), *Causal Models in Marketing*, New York : Wiley

Journals:

Singh, Jagdip. (1991), *Understanding the Structure of Consumers' Satisfaction Evaluations of Service Delivery*, *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 19 (Summer): 223-244.

Three or More Authors:

Zeithaml, Valarie A., Leonard L. Berry, and A. Parasuraman. (1993), *The Nature and Determinants of Customers Experiences of Service*, *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 21 (Winter): 1-12.

Article in a Book Edited by another Author:

Levitt, Theodore. (1988). *The Globalization of Markets*, In *Multinational Marketing Management*, Robert D Buzzell and John A. Quelch (Eds.), Reading MA : Addison-Weley, 186-205.

Unpublished Dissertations:

Paterson, Karen S. (1985), *The Effects of Bilingual Labels in Buyer Behaviour*, Dissertation, University of California at Irvine